x

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1905-6

(Gl. Index

File No. 113 of 02

P-124
(F.K)

Serial Nos. 1 to 3

Papers Filed.

Month March

SUBJECT.

Loss of postage labels sent in a packet from the Bangal
D.C. Treasury to the Manbhumdhi
Treasur

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Gen. Fin 113 & 02-03

Letter no: D 1343 & 29.5.03 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore District, Reporting that Postage Stamps costing Rs 26-10-0 were miscarried in transport from the District Treasury to the Kankanhalli Taluk Treasury.

Note.

The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist, reports that Stamp papers and postage Stamps were sent from the District Treasury to the Treasury at Kankanhally on 25.4.03 as per indent of the Amildar. After reminders were issued from the District Treasury for acknowledgment, the Amildar appears to have reported that postage Stamps ~~costing~~ Rs 26-10-0 were missing in the parcel ~~of the said report~~ is stated to have been received by the District Treasury Officer on 6.5.03. The Dy Comr states that he has deputed the Asst Comr, Closepet Range, to hold a full enquiry into the case, and that

---

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

he will submit a detailed report after receipt of the final report from the divisional officer.

D.M. 31.5.03

Submitted for orders

M.V.
1/6/03

The further report may be awaited

M.V. 1/6/03

H.W. 1/6/03

6/1/03

Cert
M 8/6/03

Note continued.

As promised in the above, the Dy Comr submits a report together with the papers relating to the enquiry made by the Asst Comr, Coloscpet Division. The facts of the case are as follows:—

The labels reported to be missing were despatched by the District Treasury in the presence of the Sheriff and the Treasurer on 25.4.03 along with other articles indented for, in registered parcels. The labels in question being of small value were not sent in a separate parcel

book were inserted into one of the parcels containing postage covers and cards. On 27.4.08 the Mankanhalli Amildar received them in sound condition and directed his Head Munshi to hand them over to the Treasury. The Head Munshi took the parcels to the Ist Sheristedar who examined the seals carefully and desired them to be delivered over to the Shroff and his acknowledgment obtained therefor. The Shroff received the parcels and set his initials in the Amad Register in token of acknowledgment. On opening the parcels one by one in the presence of the Sheristedar, he found that the opium received weighed one tola less than the quantity mentioned in the invoice, and that the postage stamps of the value of Rs 26-10-0 were no where. He reported the matter then and there to the Ist Sheristedar who made a report to the District Treasury on the deficiency of ~~weight in the~~ opium but took no action as regards the missing stamps

presuming that another parcel containing the postage labels might have been kept back by the District Treasury by mistake and it might be received by the next tappal. The matter was not brought to the notice either of the Amildar or of the Asst. Collr. in charge of the Taluk, who happened to be there that day. The next day i.e. on the 28th April 03, it is stated that the Sheristedar sent with the Nagadi Gumasta for the Signature of the Amildar a report to the District Treasury regarding the non-receipt of the labels, and that the Amildar returned it without his Signature, saying that the Sheristedar might sign it and send it on. The report of 28-4-03 was then signed by the Sheristedar himself on ~~28~~ the day on which it was written, but remained with the Nagadi Gumasta till the 4th May when it was ~~received~~ sent to the District Treasury with the Shroff who accompanied the remittance. The report was received in the District Treasury on the 6th May 03. The Treasury Officer being suspicious of the delay in the submission of the report

brought the fact to the notice of the Dy Coms who ordered that the Asst Coms, Coseptt Divn, should at once proceed to Kankanhalli to count the treasury carefully and report as to the balance and any discrepancy he may find; and that the Kankanhalli Amildar should make good the value of the missing labelffs, pending further enquiry, and report having done so within a week from the date of order. The Amildar paid the amount which was ~~credited~~ placed under deposit in the Treasury accoun on 22.5.03.

paper with flag(13)

The Asst Coms, Coseptt Division, proceeded to Kankanhalli on 2.5.03 and held an exhaustive enquiry taking down the depositions of the Sheristedar, the Shroff, the Stage Gumasta, the Head Munshi, the Taluk Gumastas and the acting Revenue Peon. The Amildar's deposition, he says, was not taken, as the report he had made was considered to be sufficiently exhaustive.

The deposition of the Shettiyar ✕ paper with-
does to show that the Amildar was flag (6)
~~present~~ present at the Treasury during
the examination of the contents of the
parcels, and fully ~~knew~~ (aware) of the missing
~~of~~ the labels. The depositions of the
others show that he did not know
that the labels were missing.

As regards the delay in the
despatch of the report made to the
District Treasury regarding the non-
receipt of the postage labels, the Sagadi
Gumastha ~~pleads~~ pleads oversight as there was
heavy work on account of Kandayam
~~see~~ Vasuli and preparation of monthly
accounts.

paper with-
flag (19)

The Asst Comr, Coimbatore, has
come to the conclusion that the Shroff,
being ~~a~~ a man of 54 years old
and defective in eye sight, might not
have properly examined the parcels him-
self. He might have allowed the peons
to help him in his examination. One
Kaleboal Krishnappa, the Stamp Vendor
of the place is stated to have also been present

⊗ vide para 5 (2) of the report of the Asst Comr, Coimbatore flag (8e).

at the time of counting and examination of the Stamps and to have purchased some of the Stamp papers himself. All these facts coupled together point to the inference that one of the parcels received from the District Treasury might have contained the postage labelled, and there might have been a combination on the part of some to make away with the labelled unnoticed by the Shroff.

The Asst Comr, Belgaum, finally states that, in almost all the Talukas, the business of personally opening parcels containing Stamps* and getting the contents examined is entrusted to the Shereistedar whose sole working hours are devoted and his special attention directed to be paid to Treasury work alone.

* paper with flag (71)

The Dy Comr has held that the Taluk Shereistedar / Venkoba

is to blame for failing to report the facts of the case in due form to the Amildar, and that he will consequently make good the loss of Rs 26-10-0 sustained. His amount will be refunded to the Amildar who has already made good the loss. The Amildar is also to blame for dilatoriness in failing to ascertain from the Sheristedar whether the stamps &c had been correctly received.

Submitted for orders.

27/7/03

The Nagadi Sumastas explanation too is not satisfactory. If the Shroff be not fit, his place should be given to a better man.

28/7/03

The Sheristedar and perhaps the Sarafs Nagadi Sumasta should bear the loss.

Draft put up — approving of the Asst Deci-sion & suggesting further enquiry abt the share of Saraf & Sumasta — for approval.

29/7/3

12/19 10.8.03 The Dewan

Shew draft 1.30

31/7 sub draft 3/8

Redna St

Read

Letters no: D 13432 of 27.4.03
and no: S. 47 of 11.7.03 from the
Dy Comr, Bangalore District.

Order Thereon.

On the indent of the Amildar in April 1903, general stamp papers, postage labels, cards and covers and a quantity of opium were sent in 4 packets on 25.4.03 from the District Treasury Bangalore to Kankanhalli. The postage labels amounting to the small value of Rs 26-10-0 were not despatched in a separate packet but were sent inserted into one of the packets containing postage covers and cards.

The Amildar received the packets on the 27th April and directed the Head Munshi to register and hand them over to the Treasury branch.

This was not supported by any other official of the Taluk. As regards the delay in the despatch of the report made to the District Treasury about the non-receipt of the labels, the Nagadi Gumasta pleaded oversight on account of the heavy work he had just at the t then.

(The Saraf is reported to be a man of 54 years) and, being defective in eye-sight, to have allowed some of the peons to help him in his examination of the contents of the packets. This fact has led the Asst Comr to conclude that the labels might have been removed by some of the peons unnoticed by the Saraf.

The Dy Comr has held that the Taluk Shirestedar Venkoba Rao is to blame in the matter and has directed him to make

make good the loss of Rs 26-10-0 sustained, the amount so recovered being refunded to the Amildar who has already ~~made good the loss~~ paid it. It is further brought to notice that Amildar Mr. Srinivasa-Rao is also to blame for dilatoriness in failing to ascertain from the Sheristedar whether the contents of the parcels had been correctly received.

The Dy Collr's decision is approved. The Sheristedar and perhaps the Saraf and the Nagadi Gumasta should bear the loss. The share of the two latter may be determined after ~~after further enquiry~~ such further inquiry as the Dy C= may consider necessary.

5/8/03

Secretary

~~17/8/03~~

The Dy Collr, Bangalore dist, returning the enclosures

the Head Munshi showed them to the Taluk Sheristedar who after examining the packets told the Head Munshi to deliver them to the Taluk Saraf. The Salty Saraf examined the contents of the packets in the presence of the Taluk Sheristedar and reported to him the non-receipt of the postage labels. The Taluk Sheristedar took no action that day. On the following day (28th April) he sent with the Nagadi Gumasta for the Amildar's Signature a report made to the District Treasury about the non-receipt of the postage labels. The Amildar told the Gumasta to obtain the Sheristedar's Signature to it as he was busy. The report was then signed by the Sheristedar and allowed to remain with the Nagadi Gumasta till the 4th May when it was sent to the District Treasury with the Saraf who accompanied

a remittance Vtreasure
from the Taluk. The Distric
Treasury Officer received
the report on the 6th May,
and being suspicious
of the delay in its submis-
account
sion, brought the matter
to the notice of the Dy Collr
who having at once deputed
the Asst Collr, Belosepet
Division, for a thorough
investigation of the case,
passed orders that the
Amildar should make
good the value of the mis-
sing labels pending further
enquiry. The Amildar paid
the amount accordingly,
which was placed in depo-
sit on 22.5.03.

The Asst Collr
proceeded to Kankanhalli
and held an enquiry at
which the Taluk Sheristedar
made the assertion that
the Amildar was present
at the Treasury during the
examination of the contents
of the packets by the Saraf

Will the Secretary
be pleased to explain
why Order in
F.L. 613-614
dated 28th August
1903 was not sent
through the I.G.
Commissioner, & why
the Commissioner was
not told that he
should have sent
the Communication
through the Res
Commissioner

2/9/1903

This seems to be a matter wholly within the Cognisance of the Financial Dept^{to}.

4/9/3

131

I am sorry to have to differ with the Secretary. This is a matter of a remittance of ~~blanks~~ postage labels from the Defunct Treasury to the Taluk Treasury with reference to which the taluk SR has been ordered to make good the loss of the labels & the Chalan Censured - This is purely a ~~Revenue~~ question Connected with the discharge of the duties

the correspondence.
I should have passed through the Revenue in the first instance I think the punishment to be meted out & the Catch Officials should have been left to him to decide - Even assuming that he had no power the question should have been brought before the 1st Councillor's notice when it had come before Govt - I would request that the matter may be placed before His Highness for orders

H.D. Jamkhandwalla
5/9/1903.

11676

Submitted to the Dewan -

12789
7.9.03

7/9/03

Strike out the words "in the Head Revenue Office only" and substitute "in the Revenue Secretariat"
7.3.1906

J H 1645—

1902/03

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt
of mysore
Rev: and Cust Depts

Dated 29 April 1903
Despatched 30 "
Received

General No.
D No. 1343

Enclosure No.

States that the Asst Commr Hoskot Range has been deputed to make a full enquiry into the case of the missing of Postage Stamps to the value of Rs 26-10— sent from the Treasury as per indent from the Kankanhalli Tk and that a detailed report will be sent to Govt after the receipt of the final report from the Dy Commr.

1/6/03

1/6/03

MGCRB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Called note
1/6/03

Dr. No. 1343.

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 29th May 1903

From,

H. H. Sharles Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Ranjunday a Esqr Mysore
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue and Genl Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to state that, as per indent from the Kankanhalli Taluk for certain Stamps — papers and postage Stamps, these were sent from the District Treasury to the Taluk on 25th April 1903. After receipt of the parcel containing

The

the above and after reminders were issued from the District Treasury for acknowledgement, a report was sent that postage stamps costing Rs 26-10-0 were missing in the parcel. This report was received by Treasury Office on 6th March 19

2 Hoshet Range has been deputed to make a full enquiry into the case and report. A detailed report will be submitted to Government after the final report is received from the Division Office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

H H Sparke

Dy Commissioner

1903-04 Fl 94

Submitted with note continued
21.
27/7/03

2)

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore Genl & Rev: Depts

Dated 11th } July 1903.
Despatched 13th }

Received

General No. 47
S No.

Enclosure No One file & 5 Encs

This office letter No. 1343 of the 29th May 1903

States that on enquiry it is clear that Sheristadar Venkobha Rao is to blame for failing to report the facts of the non receipt of the postage stamps referred to, to his Superiors & he has been directed to make good the loss of the sum of Rs 26/10/- thus sustained and refund it to the Amildar

MGCRB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

R 14.7.03

20/7/03

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 11th July 1903

S. No.

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq: M.A.M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments,

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 1343 of the 29th May 1903, reporting the loss of postage stamps of the value of Rs 26-10-0 despatched from the District Treasury to the Kankanhalli Taluk in April 1903, I have the honor to state that enquiry made on the subject in the District Treasury by myself and in the Sub Treasury by Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Ahmed Ali, who was deputed to make a searching enquiry, has shewn that the labels were

were despatched by the Distt. Treasury in presence of the Shroff and the Treasurer on 25th April 1903 along with other articles indented for, all there were sent in 4 packets. As these postage labels were of small value they were not sent in a separate parcel or cover, but inserted into one of the packets containing Postage Covers and Cards, sealed and despatched in a parcel.

2. In the Taluk, the Amildar received these parcels and directed the Head Munshi to register them in the Amani Register and hand them over to the Treasury Branch. The Head Munshi registered them accordingly, showed them to the Sheristadar who carefully examined the seals, &c, and told the Head Munshi to deliver the parcels to the Shroff. This was done and the Shroffs initials therefor obtained by the Head Munshi.

3. The shroff examined the contents of these parcels in the Sheristadar's presence

presence and reported the non receipt of these postage stamps to the Sheristadar, who took no action on this. The Sheris-tadar tries to justify this conduct by saying that he expected that the District Treasury would send these stamps next day, as they were doing in any case in which by oversight there was any omission in complying with indents. He also tries to make out that the Amildar was present more or less the whole time that the postage-card packets, &c, were being counted and examined. The Amildar denies this and no body in the Taluk has supported the Sheristadar's state-ment. They all say that the Amildar after receiving the parcels on the Ver-andah in front of the Treasury and directing his Head Mumshi as above stated, went away to his seat for other work. Again, the Assistant Commissioner also was present in the Taluk then; but the Sheristadar never brought it to his notice or to the special

special notice of the Amildar, to whom, however, he sent for his signature, the next day, a report made to the District Treasury about the non receipt of these labels. When the Nagadi Gumasta took this report for the Amildar's signature, the Amildar merely told him to obtain the Sheristadar's signature as he was busy.

4. It is thus clear that the Taluk Sheristadar, Venkoba Rao is to blame for failing to report, in due form, the above facts to his superiors. He has consequently to make good the loss of Rs 26-10-0 thus sustained. I have issued orders accordingly and directed that this amount, already made good by the Amildar be refunded to him.

5. The Amildar Mr. J. Srinivasa Rao is also to blame for dilatoriness in failing to ascertain from the Sheristadar whether the stamps, &c., had been correctly received.

I Vide letter No 277 of the 13th June/

together with its enclosures, received on the subject from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Kankanhalli Taluk is, herewith sent for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H H Sparkes
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Mm.

No 277
Customs and Police Works

JR 2141/1 of 03
Its Encs.

From

Ahmed Ali Esquire
Assistant Commissioner
Closepet Division

To

N. A. Sladen, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore.

Dated 13th June 1903.

Sir,

Ref:- Your No: R 1901 dated 29th may last
requesting to hold a full and exhaustive enquiry in the matter of the missing postage stamps in Kankanhalli Taluk & report result.

In continuation of my previous reports on her margin, I have the honor to state that I proceeded to Kankanhalli on the 2d instant and held an exhaustive enquiry, taking down the depositions of the Sheristadar, Mr Shroff, Rajadi Gumasta, Head Nimushi, Taluk Gumasta

(1) No 222 17-5-03
(2) No 248 26.5.03

Jumadar, and Acting Revenue Peon. I have also appended to these translations in English for your kind perusal.

The Amildar's deposition has not been taken as he has submitted a long explanation in this matter. The Amildar & the Head Munshi do both say that the parcels were placed on the Sheristadar's table, whereas the latter (Sheristadar) says that it was not to be placed, but that it was given away to the Shroff direct.

2. From the statements made by the several Taluk officials it will be seen that there is a consensus of opinion on some points in the enquiry. The main points of the enquiry hold relate to the following. —

1. Who received the parcels and opened them, and in whose presence.

2. If the contents of the parcels on examination agreed with

(Sheet No 2.)

the Invoice sent and as per Indent.
3. If not, how there came to be a deficiency and how it is explained.
4. Whether the parcels sent from the District Treasury were received at Kanchalli in good condition.

In regard to the first point, I have to say that the parcels (as referred to in my previous reports) were all received by the Guildar himself, but given over to the Treasury officials — to the hands of the Shroff and Sheristadar, through the Head Munshi.

The parcels as soon as they were received by the Shroff were opened by him in the presence of the Sheristadar and were examined.

4. With reference to the second point in the enquiry, I have to observe that the contents of the parcels

parcels agreed as per Invoice
in respect of General Stamp Papers,
Postage Cards & Covers only.
In respect of opium it is stated
that the actual quantity sent
as per Indent, by the District
Treasury, fell short of one
tola in weighment; and that
with reference to the Postage
Stamps, it is said that they were
not at all received as stated in
the Invoice and were therefore
missing. Reports as to the latter
two circumstances have been
made by the Sheristadar himself
to the District Treasury officer
apparently on the next day.
The reports as to the missing Postage
Stamps however seem to have
reached the District Treasury
after a delay of one week.

From the statement of the
Sheristadar, it will be seen
that this official contradicts

himself in one or two places where he deposes to the effect that the Amildar too was present at the time of examination, that he was seated on a dead wood box near to his side, that he during the course of the examination was also aware of the deficiency in postage stamps. On this point the statements of the other officials do not agree with each other. The Asst Revenue Inspector Narasinga Rao states that the Amildar was not present at the time of examination and that he was not seated on the dead wood box, and did not come to know of the deficiency in postage stamps.

In another place the Shirastadar has deposed stating that owing to the absence of the Amildar from Town, himself, the Naga Gumasta, Head Gumasta examined the boxes

and that in the report which he wrote to the District Treasury, he does not state that either he examined them himself, or that the Amildar did it, and that he also did not make mention of the fact of the Amildar having seated on the deal wood box near his side, at the time when the Shroff brought to notice the deficiencies in postage stamps.

When such a responsible official makes inconsistent statements like these, I am not inclined to believe his statements. On questioning the sheristadar to prove his statement by the evidence of other officials, he says that he is unable to do so, as the Revenue Gumasta Nanjundiah & the Amildar used their influence and that the peons have all combined and been tutored to give evidence on their side alone.

(Sheet 4)

The Amildar as a matter of fact was not in the Treasury Room at the time of the examination of parcels and he was not aware of the deficiency that was since discovered in the postage stamps that day. Consistently the Shroff affirms that he reported the circumstances of the deficiency to the Sheristadar alone, and that he did not inform either the Amildar or the Divisional officer who then encamped there about this; and, this, therefore plain that the Amildar was not present at the time of the examination of the parcels.

The Amildar reports them in his No. 1072 of the 29th ultimo that "it is a matter for regret that the Sheristadar never brought to my notice that postage stamps were missing then and there or even that day. If he had done so, I could not but have been in a position

to see to things, and to send report at once to the District Treasury and to District officers by Special Messenger or Telegraph."

5. In regard to the next point as to how there came to be a deficiency in the postage stamps and how it is explained, I am of opinion that the District Treasury officer must have sent the small supply of postage stamps in one of the parcels as stated by him by removing the seals, & then thrusting the same on to the surface, and then closing the same with a cloth. On its receipt at the Taluk Treasury it must have been received along with others, but evidently the Shroff who opened the parcels & examined the contents, stated that there was nowhere to be seen, and that he reported this fact to the Sheristadar. The Statements

made by the Shanistadar, the Shroff, Ag Nagadisumasta Alasingrachar, & Ag Revenue Peon Narasinga Rao, seem to point to the inference that the missing postage Stamps have not at all been sent as stated by the District Treasury officer. In this connection, I have to make the following observations: —

2. The Shroff Madiah is an old man of 54 years and by his defective eyesight, he might not have well examined & and seen things himself properly. For in this respect, he seems to have allowed the peons & others to help him in his examination. And this, coupled with the circumstance that the Stamp Vendor of the place, Kabbal Krishnappa, was also present at the time of the counting & examination of the Stamps, and that further

he was given certain General Stamps papers that very day and at that time, seems highly suspicious and points to the inference - a probable one, that there might have been combination on the part of some to make away with the Stamps -

I levied, by taking one parcel which contained postage covers, and another which contained postage cards, and thrusting into it a small packet to hold stamps of the value of Rs. 26/10/- and found that the parcels could hold the small supply. The excess supply that was thrust, could not be discovered on mere glance or supervision. As a matter of fact, the parcel which contained the General Stamps was first examined and found all right. The parcels which contained postage cards & covers were only seen superficially

and on the mere assumption or rather inference that the parcels did not contain postage stamps, the Treasury people did not minutely examine them. On the other hand they surmised & the Sheristadar believed that another packet of postage stamps was expected to be received separately.

From several private enquiries I have made in this direction, I come to understand that when the District Treasury officer wrote again to the Amildar, and asked him to make a close search for the stamps in the parcels, the Shroff was then absent, and that the Revenue people (working in the Treasury) noticed the actual facts of the missing stamps having been received, and subsequently; it appears that the whole matter has been entirely hushed up, for fear of being brought into trouble. I cannot say

what was done with the postage stamps, ie whether they were destroyed or otherwise. And, it is a difficult matter to have this detected as it has been done by the minor officials.

Hitherto the Treasury Gollans that were selected were all respectable men, and the two gollans of the Treasury have been taken over by the Revenue Branch, and two Revenue peons sent to the Treasury in their place. All this confusion has been caused by irresponsible and untrustworthy ~~Brahmin~~ Boys, having been selected for such places.

The shroff in his deposition explains the missing postage stamps thus:- "The parcel containing post cards was opened and it was all right. There were no postage labels. I did not immediately inform to the Sheristadar of this fact.

I told this to

Pale (7)

the Sheristadar. He said that the Stamps which were found deficient might arrive by the next tappal. The District Treasury have on many previous occasions sent Stamps less at the time of remittance and they have also sent Stamps in excess. They have sent Stamps to one Taluk that should or ought to go to another Taluk. I have produced a list relating to this."

In his re-examination he states that "he threw away the Cartridge papers which were received in a torn condition and which were found in the cloths marked B & C."

The Sheristadar also explains the missing postage stamps in the same terms as deposed to by the Shroff, and further states that in his Report to the District Treasury Office, he did not consider it necessary to report in detail, as such a circumstance had not previously occurred.

that in the Indent marked No(1) he did not put in his initials to where the Sheriff attested under his initials noticing the circumstance of the deficiency in stamps and that he did not consider it necessary to affix his signature to the same.

It is open to question why the Sheristadar as soon as he was informed by the Sheriff did not bring it to the notice of the Additional Asst, so that the needful action might be taken by the latter, and what prompted the Sheristadar to believe or rather expect that the missing stamps might be received by the next day's Tappal, when as a matter of fact he had the knowledge that they were not received the very day. I cannot guess his real intentions, though of course he sent in a report

(Page 8)

subsequently to the District Treasury.

The mere assertion that the District Treasury sent stamps sometimes in excess or in deficiency and that parcels were wrongly addressed to one Taluk what should be forwarded to another, does not point to any sound conclusion that in the present instance too, such was the case. On the other hand, the Sheristadar seems to have lost sight of the fact that entrusted as he was only with Treasury work, the duty of personally getting such parcels opened and examining the contents thereof was his, and he was therefore responsible for any discrepancy when found. In fact, the Sheristadars have been specially directed to pay particular attention to Treasury work alone, as they are not burdened with any other

Revenue work in the General Branch.

6. As regards the last question whether the parcels sent from the District Treasury were received at Kankanhalli in good condition, I have to answer it only in the affirmative.

The Shirastadar states in his Report No. 10/2 of 29-5-03 that he found the parcels received all right & then made them over in his presence to the Sheristadar and Shroff who were then in the Treasury Room. The Taluk officials, whose depositions have been taken point to the fact that the seals were all safe, that the parcels were in good condition when received. Even the Shroff and the Sheristadar admit that such was the case. The latter states later on in his deposition thus:

"I have heard yadi No 487 of 28.5.03

written by the District Treasury officer to the Closepet Division Assistant Commissioner read out true. As therein stated, no string was passed through any parcels. The packets were sent here just in the very same condition in which they were received from the Residents Treasury. They were opened and examined." The shroff has stated in one place in his deposition that he threw away the cartridge papers which were received in a torn condition and which were found in the Cloth & marked B & C. The said parcels did not contain on the covers the weights to shew that everyone of them weighed so much".

"But these statements are not of much consequence and do not go to prove conclusively that the parcels were not safely & securely packed, and sent sealed

and in good condition.

In fact, the District Treasury officer's yards to the Taluk Treasury and to this office are sufficiently clear and explain the fact of the postage stamps having been included & despatched in one of the parcels, and that every reasonable care & proper endeavour was taken by the District Treasury officer to despatch them in a good & safe condition as might reasonably be expected under the circumstances of the case. It is also a singular fact that the above officer states that on the 24th & 25th April last, no parcels of stamps were despatched to any other Taluk Treasury.

7. As regards the value of the missing postage stamps viz Rs. 26-10-0, the Amildar

(Sheet 10)

reports in his No. 1073 of 29-5-03 that he had obeyed your orders and credited the amount in the Treasury on 22d May'03 last and desires that you will kindly reconsider the matter and cause the recovery of the value, if need be, from the Shernitadar, or from the Shernitadar & Shroff pending final decision in the matter.

The existing practice prevailing in almost all the Talukas is that the business of personally getting the parcels opened and of examining the contents is usually entrusted to the Shernitadars whose pole working hours are devoted to and whose special attention is directed to be paid to Treasury work alone, and [illegible] opinion that such is really the case.

The papers relating to this subject and the enclosures

received with your docket No.
Rs. 1857 & 25, 57, 03 are also added
on to the file. Exhibits marked A to H.
are also enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Assistant Commissioner
Cuttack Sadr Division

~~Thirteen~~ ~~have been required~~ ~~from~~
~~villages have been received~~ until ~~the~~
~~latter~~ have been read I cannot pass
orders as the report is full of enquiries
required actual information
~~about the~~ Being upon the statements
which need

~~Illegible~~
15/6/03

Submitted with a brief minute
after getting the above signed
& getting its enclosures,
The bags reported to are also
~~Illegible~~ Post Office.

Orders

Upon perusing the records
I find that the Chaukidar
is to blame for failing to
report the matter to the Ana:
& he will consequently make
good the loss of Rs 26/7/0
mentioned which will be
refunded to the Chaukidar.
The Chaukidar is also to blame
for delaying in sending to the Thanadar
whether the charges etc had been correctly read
A report will be sent to Court at once ~~Illegible~~ 4/7/03.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		

14 H. [illegible]

15 [illegible]

16 [illegible] 1072 29-9-03 [illegible] 4

[illegible] 1073 29-9-03 [illegible]

[illegible]

15-6-03

Deposition of Hd Munshi Kasiyathiah on solemn affirmation -

Age 42 yrs
Race Hindu
Caste Lingathia Brahmin
Father's name Annaiffa
Residence Kankanhalli
occupation Talk Hd Munshi

I returned to Kankanhalli on the 27th Ultimo, having gone on circuit with the Amildar to Sakreepatna I reached this place at 12 noon that day. Having taken my meals, I came to the office at 1 o'clock P.M. Four parcels from the District Treasury had arrived was receipt They were well packed & properly sealed. The cover bore duly registered. The Amildar signed & returned the postal receipts for the registered parcels. The Amildar standing on the Verandah in front of the Talk Treasury paid having signed the postal acknowledgments for the registered parcels, was told that they might be entered in the Amaad Register & given to the Treasury I showed them to the Sheristadar. The Sheristadar saw them & desired that they may be given to the Shroff. Accordingly I gave them into the hands of Kankanhalli Talk Shroff Madiah, and took his signature to the Amaad book. Then I returned to my office to my own work. As the Amildar was yet standing on the Verandah in front of the Treasury, I gave them to the Shroff's hands. In the Treasury room, there were present Sheristadar Venkata Rao & Shroff Madiah. I do not remember whether the Nagadi Gumastan was. I delivered the parcels into the place where the Sheristadar & Shroff used to sit for transactions.

I do not well remember if the Tahsildar
personally present there: There was a
Bamboo Barricade at the door of the
Treasury Office. As soon as the said
Tahsildar personally delivered the parcels, I
showed the said parcels to the Sheristadar
and gave them into the hands of the
Shroff. I was in the Taluk Cutchery till
6.30 P.M. in the evening of that day.
No one told me that there was a deficiency
in the Postage stamps.

Whenever such parcels were received,
the above practice was being observed
from a very long time.

During the absence of the Amildar
from the Town, the Sheristadar used to
receive the Parcels and dispose of them
in the usual way & I do not remember
if this course was observed. I have
been also in charge of this Taluk for
the period 1 yr + 4 months. During this period
of 1 yr + 4 months, I do not remember that
the Sheristadar signed
the acknowledgments and received the
parcels. I well remember of the Sheristadar
& the Shroff having remained in the Transaction
Room & of the Bara being outside at the door.
I do not remember if the Nagadi Jamabandi
was present. There is no reason for the
Nagadi (Treasury) Peon to come & inform me
if the deficiency in Stamps in the Parcels
received was found. If the Sheristadar
should give the Parcels, during the
absence of the Amildar from Hobli,
received the Parcels & gave them into
my hands, I would use to enter them
in the Issued Book, and deliver them

(Sheet 2)

to the Shroff, and obtain his signature to its receipt in the Board Book.
I do not remember of what bears now better place.

Read over & explained & admitted to be correct

(Signed) Kasiprattanya
H.D. Muncipi

Cardiac

2

4

4/6/1903 Ahmed Ali

Postage Covers

Pocket

Postage Covers

Postage Card only, reply card

5/6/1903

Deposition of Shroff Madayya, Kanakan. - Kankli Taluk. - Duly affirmed. -

Age. 52 yrs.
Race. Hindu
Religion. Lingayet
Father's name. Byaviah
Residence. Kankanhalli
Occupation - Taluk Shroff.

I am looking after the duties of the Shroff in this Taluk for the last 13 years. Prior to this, I looked after the duties of Sheikdar for 4 or 5 years in an acting capacity. Before this, I did also the duties of a municipal Muthaddy. All this added, my service comes to 23 years. I have been opening the parcels containing stamps in the presence of the Sheristadar and counting them for the past 13 years since coming to this place. The sheristadar is seated 2½ yards from the place where I sit and sees my opening the parcels, counting them &c in the Treasury Room where the transactions take place, On the 27th ultimo, the Amildar called out for the 4th Munshi in order to give 4 parcels to the Treasury, and so the 4th Munshi placed the 4 parcels on the Sheristadar table. This Amildar called that this might be examined & looked into, & then went to the Room of the Account Deptt. The sheristadar seeing that the seals were in good condition said that it might be opened & desired me to open it. I looked into the parcel which contained the General stamps. It was all right. I looked into the one containing opium. There was a deficiency of 1 tola in weight and so I informed the Sheristadar. The sheristadar wanted that it might be counted again. I again weighed it, and there was a deficiency of 1 tola opium. The parcel containing half anna postage covers, on being opened & examined, was all right. The parcel containing postcards

was opened, and it was all right. There were no postage labels, I did not immediately inform the Amildar of this fact. I did not inform him to the Amildar that evening. I did not inform the Amildar of the deficiency of Rs. 26-10 in postage stamps which was found, even after 2 or 3 days. The D.C. officer of Cheveppet had encamped in this place. I did not inform him. I told this to the Sheristadar. He said that the stamps which were found deficient might arrive by the next tappal. The District Treasury have on many previous occasions sent stamps short at the time of remittance and also more; they have sent also them in excess. They have sent stamps which have to one Taluk, which ought to go to another Taluk. I have produced a detailed list relating to this.

I opened the parcel at 3 P.M. in the evening that was received on 27th April 1902. There were then present the Sheristadar, Acting Magadi Gumastas, Madinpachar, Salla Narasinga Rao & myself. The permanent Magadi Gumasta came on 28 ultimo. He may have written the report stating that there was found a deficiency of Rs. 28-10 ps. On the 27th the several denominations of stamps were entered in the respective Registers, after showing its credit. The Sheristadar took the charge on the 27th the transactions commenced at 12 P.M. I have produced the extract of Jama Kirch transactions, both days, of the 27th ultimo.

The Hon'ble

After the parcel was opened, the Sheristadar enquired why there was a deficiency in Stamp Postage & examined the same. Stamps worth Rs. 26-10-0 are not to be found & said that they may come by the next taffal.

On the 28th April, the postage stamps which were found deficient did not come by taffal. The Sheristadar paid that he reported this circumstance saying that the missing stamps did not arrive. The balls of opium were received in small gunny bags. The remaining 3 parcels were received in cloth bags. All of them are now existing. I think that the deficiency in opium was a report was made that day about the deficiency in opium & it was despatched also.

On the 4th May last, I carried an Imam (remittance) from Kankankalli and reached Bangalore on the 6th idem. I gave in the Bangalore District Treasury, the report as to the deficiency of Rs. 26-10-0 worth of stamps, as also the Invoice, Indent &c. The Nagardi Gumasta at Kankankalli, gave the papers enclosed in a sealed cover. I gave this away in the District Treasury. The Nagardi Gumasta did not inform me that he put in the cover such & such papers only. In the District Treasury, I gave the cover to the hands of the Treasurer. I took the Invoice to the Comptroller's Office & the Resident Treasury, and after the counting of coins was completed, I returned to the District Treasury; and on my asking for the

General stamp papers as per revised indents
I was told that as a deficiency in postage
stamps was reported, the matter was
referred again to the Taluk & that the
reply was awaited, & that I might wait
until the receipt of the reply from the
Taluk. So, I waited for 2 days. Reply
was subsequently received. At that
time the Dy Commissioner had come to the
Treasury & he went away. On the 2nd day,
I was conducted to the Dy Commissioner.
Something was there written Ghin, and
I was asked to go. Afterwards, I was
given General stamps & Postage Stamps
I received them & came away. I
showed the stamps to the Sheristadar
which I had brought with me, and
kept it in the Treasury. Before the
Amildar had come there were Messrs
Anchal Krishna Rao, Chinchur Krishnaiah,
Govindappa, Kantiravachar, Nonnappa
Naidu. When the Amildar was in the
Treasury in the presence of the Sheristadar the
parcels were opened opened the parcels
& the necessary debit & credit transactions
have been made. Prior to the Amildar
Sreenivasa Rao's coming to the Taluk
and when Messrs Anchal Krishna Rao,
Chinchur Krishna Rao, Govindappa,
Kantiravachar, Nonnappa Naidu
were Amildars here, the Sheristadars
used to open the parcels in their presence
& made the debit & credit transactions.
It might be possible that they might have
opened the parcels in the absence of the
Sheristadars but I do not well remember

(Sheet 3)

The Accountant's room is quite separate. There is no connection between the Treasury Room & this room. In the cover given me by the Magistrate enclosed the Accounts of General & Postage stamps, and I accordingly I was given them as per Indent in the District Treasury.

I have seen the Indent No 1. In this was found the deficiency in Postage stamps of Rs 26/ as in

I have seen the report written from the Karaikal Treasury on the 28-4-08 bearing No 242. This is marked No 8 and in this the Accountant has put in his signature and this is not signed by the Accountant. It states that only Single cards, Reply Cards, & Half annas, covers have been received & none else. The remaining exhibits do not concern me. It is not my duty to inform of this to the Taluk Accountant & the Asst Collr in charge ~~of them~~ than I kept quiet, as I have informed this to the Sheristadar. The Sheristadar has looked into & noted the references at each time when there was deficiency, excess in the Stamps as per Indent, and at such cases when they were wrongly addressed like his head office being sent to the proper Taluk

Read over in Karaikal & admitted to be correct.

(Sd) Madhiah Shroff

4/6/08 (Signed) Ahmed Ali

A.C.

Re-Examined, & deposed on solemn affirmation.

I opened the packet containing postage covers. There are 15 covers for each bundle

& there are small small packets —

I took 15 packets and counted them. This is all I did but I did not untie each bundle & look into them. I will explain the details of this by showing it to you in your personality. I have seen the cloth marked A in the bundle of General Stamp papers. To the cloth which was labelled on the bundle of General Stamp papers, was also marked another Red chit bearing No 4 & 2 by the Postal Depte. I have seen the cloth marked B. To this, also was there was marked No 41 by the Postal Depte In this, there were Postage Covers. I have also seen the cloth marked C, and in this there were Postage Cards, reply Cards. I have seen the jute bag marked D, and in this contained opium.

I then set away the Cartridge papers which were seized in a torn condition & which were found in the cloths marked B & C.

The said parcels did not contain on the covers the weight, to their that each weighed so much. I opened & looked into each of the parcels. After opening the packet of postage covers, & and examined two bundles, and then counted to ascertain if every bundle contained 15, packets each or not. They were all right. I opened the packets & saw the postage cards reply Cards. They were all right. The Kapadia Gumasta came on the 28 April last. On telling him that the postage labels were not received as per Indent, he enquired if they were received in that day's Tapal. That very day, the Sheristedar got the report written which is marked Exhibit 8.

Read over & admitted to be correct

(Signed) Ahmed Ali

Sheet (4)

As I deposed before you yesterday, I have now produced the bottles of Jamna & Kanch transmarines of the 27th April last. The Jamna bottle is marked C.1. while the Kanch bottle bears the mark: K.

Read over in Kannada translated to be correct.

(Sd) Madiah Shroff.

[illegible]

[illegible]

4-6-03

Deposition of Alasingacharu, Kanthamma
Taluk Gumastah.

Solemnly affirmed.

Age 30 yrs.
Race Caste Hindu
Religion Brahmin (Srivaishnava)
Father's name Narasimha — chary.
Occupation Person Rs 7/-
Residence Near Kandali

On the 27th April last, I acted for the Nagadi Gumasta. At the Nagadi Gumasta, Kanthamma Taluk Gumastah was absent on leave. I acted for him. He was on leave for two days, i.e. Sunday & Monday. On the 27th April last, 4 parcels had arrived. They were brought and placed on the Sheristadar's table. The Sheristadar looked into the seals on them, and said that they were all right. The Sheristadar asked the Shroff to open them. The Hd. Munshi took the signature of the Shroff in the Attd Book. The Amildar gave the said parcels in his presence, that is to say, sent them. Afterwards the Amildar went away to attend his own work. Then the Shroff opened the parcel of General Stamp papers and looked into them. They were all right. I got it entered in my book. Then Opium was weighed. There was found a deficiency of one tola in weight. That very day I wrote the report on this subject. I wrote and sent the report on the said 27th April only to the District Treasury. Afterwards the parcel of Arrack Covers was looked into. They were all right. The parcel containing cards, reply cards, double cards was also looked into. They were also all right. I entered them in the respective Registers, and got the

signature of the Sheristadar &c.
As there was found a deficiency of
Rs 2.6 — on worth of Postage stamps
the Sheristadar asked the Shroff
to look into it. The Shroff
looked into it very well and said
that they were not received.
Then the Sheristadar himself went into
and examined the same. They were
not found. The Nagadi Gumasta
returned on the second day and
wrote the report. This is marked no 8.
This was despatched sent to the
District Treasury on the 4th May last.
I have also been used to write the
'From' & 'To' Registers. The Nagadi
gumasta also used to write them.
The Nagadi Gumasta wrote the Report
marked Exhibit No 8, and also entered
them in the 'From' & 'To' Registers.
on the 28th April last, he returned
and joined his duty. On the 2d day,
I was receiving the deposit amounts
relating to the Abkari Shop Sales. Gollas
Narasinga Rao was there. Kabbal
Krishnappa was there at the time of
opening the parcels. This man is the
stamp Vendor. He received the General
stamp papers. It was he alone that
received the General stamp papers.
He received General stamp papers
worth Rs 98-12-0. Receipt stamps
worth Rs 1-0-0 he received.
Kabbali Krishnappa did not
receive the stamps standing.

Sheet 2

Seating himself, he received the Stamps from a distance of a hundred cubits. On learning that the General stamps were received, he came there.

(Signed) illegible
Language and admitted by him to be correct.

(Sd) Alagiyamanavalan

(Sd) Ahmed Ali
A.L.



Deposition of Narayanappa Rao —
solemnly affirmed

Age. 26 yrs.
Race. Hindu
Religion Vaishnava Brahmin
Father's name Lakshmi-Narasappa.
Residence. Kankanhalli
Occupation. Hg Revenue Peon.

I am the acting Revenue Peon in Kankanhalli Tk. I have been in the Kankanhalli Taluk Treasury since the 27th April last. On that day, the parcels were received at about 3 P.M. in the evening. The Amildar placed the parcels on the Sheristadar's table, which were brought by the Hd Mussalchi. The Sheristadar asked Shroff Madiah to examine & see if they are correctly received. Of these, the Shroff Madiah opened the parcel of General Stamp papers. In this, though Stamp papers were all right. Then, he opened the bag of opium. The Shroff said that there was a deficiency of one tola weight in the opium: The Sheristadar asked him to look into it properly & took. The Shroff answered that he had properly seen it & was right. The Sheristadar got the opium weighed once again. There was a deficiency of one tola in weight. Afterwards the packet containing postage cards was opened & looked into. The cards were all right. Afterwards the packet of postage covers was opened, and they were all right. There were no postage labels. The Sheristadar said that it might be well looked into, and then came & stood near and again got it examined. There were no postage labels. He said that they might be received the next day and then kept quiet. The acting Regadi

Registered postal stamps were charged ~~to~~ gave credit to the several Registered relating to the various heads, and then took the signature of the Shroff on them. The Accountant after moving about for a time in the Account Office came back to his own room and took his seat. The Accountant did not come and take his seat on the deal wood box near to the Shroff's, and never learnt the fact of the deficiency in the postage stamps.

At the time that the Stamps were being examined, Stamp Vendor Krishnappa was by the side of the Shroff at a distance of 2 cubits. Afterwards, he took the stamps and went away by 4 o'clock.

Stamp Vendor Krishnappa was present at the time when the deficiency in stamps was noticed.

Heard what was read over in Kannada admitted it to be correct.

(Signed) Narasinga Rao
Asst Jamadar

Ramprasad.

Mughadi Gunnaiah

Ahmedabad.

5/6/1903 AG.

Deposition of Nagadiginnaista Ranganaiki Sastri — solemnly affirmed

Age. 28 yrs.
Race: Hindu
Religion. Smartha Brahmin.
Father's name. Venkatadri Sastri
Residence: Nagadi + Kankanhalli (Attpresent.)
Occupation. Nagadi Ginnaista

I am Nagadiginnaista of the Kankanhalli Hobli on the 27th April last I was on leave and returning to my duty on the following day. I have seen Report Yadi No 242 of 28-4-03 marked Exhibit No 8. It may be that I have written this. That is to say, I have written this. This I despatched on the 4th, May last to the District Huzur Treasury — At that time I have had very urgent business, to dispatch this was forgotten. On the (said) 28th April, the Amildar was present at the Kolhari (Shendi) Sales. As per desire of the Sheristadar, I showed this to the Amildar and told him that it required his signature to it. He replied that the Sheristadar might sign and forward it. The Sheristadar had at first first only put in his initials to the Yadi marked Exhibit No 8. Afterwards he again signed it and ~~returned it~~ ~~to give it for despatch~~ I kept it with me for despatching the same. As large sums of money were received at the later period, I was amidst much confusion (galata). On the 4th May I put it with other papers in a cover and gave it into the hands of the Shroff. As I was new to the Nagadi work, I forgot it was in the midst of galata (confusion).

Real chiesa Nazarena ad Adventista
Ebe conforme.

(Signed) Ranfa Santa
Segretaria Generale.

2

28-5-1903 ನೇ [illegible]

Resident [illegible]

4/6/1903

5

Deposition of Sheristadar Venkobarao,
on solemn affirmation.

Age 54 yrs.
Race Sudra
Religion Brahmin (Sthanata)
Father's name Subbarao
Residence Kankanhalli
Occupation Talukdar Sheristadar

I have been Sheristadar of the Kankanhalli Taluk for the last 7 yrs. In 1878, I was made Sheristadar, Savanhalli Since that time, I have been holding the place of Sheristadar. During this long period, I have also done my duty without any complaints. On the 27 April last at about 2 P.M., Mr. Freeming Rao sent a letter to the Treasury and saying that Genl. Stamp papers have been received & that the 4 parcels should be examined, gave them to the hands of the Head Munshi near the Shroff, who received obtained the latter's signature in the Amad Book. The said parcels were not placed on my table. Invariably as a rule, the parcels are entrusted to the shroff & they are not given to me & never were they placed on my table. Then the Shroff enquired if the seals were all right, and said that the signature may be put after examination. The Shroff after examining the seals & finding that they were all right, allotted the latter work. As the Genl. Stamp papers when first examined were found all right, he put his signature to the Indent. Then he examined the opium & found that it was two big one tola. Report was made as to this circumstance. When it was weighed twice, it was two big one tola. The postage covers were next examined & these were found correct

Then the parcel of cards including double & reply cards was ~~not~~ divided & then examined, and it was all right. At this time, the Amildar was ~~going to leaving from the~~ facing the rooms of the Head Asst' & the Treasury Room & was observing all this. I was ~~to observing~~ all this. The Amildar was now & then observing this. For sometime, while the stamps were being counted, the Amildar was seated on a deal-wood box near my side for a little of an hour. The Shroff said that the indent from the District Treasury states that Rs.26 worth of postage labels have been sent, but that the same has not been received. X When the Amildar was seated on the deal wood box. I stated that a few parcels in large stock have now arrived, and perhaps the postage labels might have been retained there only & that they might be expected to arrive the next day. The Amildar asked that it might be looked into carefully & well X According I again examined. The said stamps were not found: on the following day i.e 28 April, the paid stamps did not arrive by post & when looked into. That very day ~~exhibited~~ ~~containing the~~ report No 242 of 28/4/03 which was marked Exhibit No 8, was written & attested by me. This was returned by the Nagadi Sirastha Ramaswami Sastri file the 4th inst. Dated

(Sheet 2)

the writer Nagendra Gunaratna gave it to the Sheriff on the 4th May last pursuant to my ~~instructions~~ coming to their office. To this was received the reply No 2187 of 6/5/03 marked Exhibit J. in which was stated that the stamps might again be well looked into & examined as they were sent. On this, I sent a report No 206 of 12/5/03 marked Exhibit No 11. In this was stated that the Assistant received the parcels himself on 27 ult. & on examining the contents with the Indents, Opium, Bhang papers, Surplus & Saleable Cards & covers only were received & none else, a careful search was made among the Cartridge papers, under the impression if any thing left was mixed with them, and there was found nothing. So, the Sheriff tallied those only which were supplied and made a remark as to those which were not received. As the Assistant was absent from the Town, myself, the Sheriff & Nagendra Gunaratna examined them, but could not find the missing postage stamps. I have stated herein that

In this Report it is not stated that I examined them or that the Assistant did it; and it is also not stated that the Assistant was seated near me on the deal-wood box, at the time when the Sheriff said that there was a deficiency. I did not find it necessary to report in detail, as such a circumstance never had occurred. I have seen the Indents marked Exhibit No 1. In this I have not put any initials to the places where the Sheriff had set in his initials to those stating that

noticing
~~In noting~~ the circumstance of the
deficiency in stamps, and I do
not remember that it required my
signature but. I have seen order No
2007:07 16/4/03 marked No 2. It is
therein stated that it should be
obtained by means of a written yadi
through a peon. As the Amildar
has been serving for the last two years
that it was unnecessary to send a
peon for the purpose, I did not send
either a peon or a yadi. Then D. wrote such
a yadi, marked Exhibit No 3. The
Dy which Investigating Officer wrote a yadi
marked Exhibit No 4, stating that a peon
should be sent. I sent a yadi Exhibit
No 5, stating that Peon Sivaramaya had
been sent. To this was received reply
stating that yadi No 237 dated was
received, and that it will be sent by
the next day's tappal as per indent.
That the duplicate indent has been
sent along with the main Sivaramaya
who has come here. Further, a reply
has to be sent to acknowledging receipt
of the stamp papers which will be
sent by the next day's tappal, and that
the required indent may be sent for
the general stamp papers of the
denominations. This is marked
Exhibit No 6. - Peon Sivaramaya is a
trustworthy peon, being a family
man. It is for the Court to consider from what person
they (the) Documents in the 4 parcels were
sent by post, in lieu of the same

be sent through them, on our own responsibility. It is doubtful if any yadi was written at first requesting reply. a clue is to be got in its receipts. Yadi No 2096 bears Exhibit No 7

In yadi No 2201, marked Exhibit No 10 it is not clearly stated that the said postage stamps were secured in any particular packet. I have heard yadi No 487 of 28/5/03 written by the Dy Supy of the Colombo Fort Treasury, read out to me. As therein stated, no stamps passed through any parcels. The packets were sent here in the very same condition in which they were received from the Resident's Treasury. They were opened and examined.

After I came to this Taluk there were on many occasions received stamps which were found deficient; also they have been received in excesses. This difficulty Treasury have on many occasions wrongly sent to this Taluk which should rightly be addressed forwarded to some Taluk. I have produced the Extracts relating to this. These have been marked E x 7.

Stamp Vendor Kabbal Kuruppu has been called at this time when the parcels were received. General Stamp papers & Receipt Stamps (labels) have been given to him thereafter.

I have heard what was read out to me in the Kandyan language. It is truth that it has been written as I have stated.

(Signed) Venkoba Rao
Chairman

Mr. Kantaria's clerk had asked during his time, that it was impossible for Broad Years, back that it may be sent by post only. All the stamps have been received in that way ever since that time. In only certain cases & that only sometimes, I used to put in my signature to the postal receipts and receive them. At other times the Assistant used to send the parcels to the Sheriff, after giving the acknowledgments. The Sheriff used to open them in my presence and after examining & counting them, place them in the Treasury.

Read over in Hindustani admitted to be correct.

(Sd) Abdulbakeer (Sd) Ahmed Ali
Sheristadar
4/6/03.

affirmed on re-examination

On the 28th April last I wrote the Report marked Exhibit 8, stating that the Postage labels were not received, and having put my initials, I sent it for the signature of the Assistant. The Nagadi Gumasta took the Report and returned it back stating that the Assistant told him to get the same signed by the Sheristadar and so I dispatched it underneath my signature. On the 27th April last the Indent marked Exhibit No 1 was found in one parcel in 1 of 4 parcels received

Sheet 4(4)

As the Shroff had stated that the postage labels were not there as per Indent, I got up from my chair and examined them standing. The paid postage labels were not there. On the two occasions when I had examined them, the Huzlavar was having both the times only.

Read over & Explained & admitted to be correct.

(Signed) Venkoba Rao
Sheristadar

The extract from the Stamp Register relating to this subject of the stamps not having been correctly cancelled on a previous occasion is marked E. Extracts from the Books reporting on this subject in similar circumstances are marked F.

Read over & explained & admitted to be correct.

5/6/03 (Signed) Venkoba Rao
Sheristadar

Final 79C.] ಸರಾಫನ ರೋಜಮಾರ್ ಜಮಾ ತಪಸೀಲ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇದರ 1903 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ತಾರೀಖು ಆಗಾಯಿತು ವರಿಗೆ.

MGCPB—21,900—1-11-03

ಜಮಾ ತಾರೀಖು	ಮಾಹೆ ಸಂ.	ಯಾರಿಂದ ಬಂದದ್ದು	ಜಮಾ ಮೊಬಲಗು	ಜಮಾ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ತಪಸೀಲ್									ರೋಜಮಾರ್ ಜಮ್ಮಾ	ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇದಾರನ ದಸ್ಕತ್ತು
				ನೋಟುಗಳು	ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು	ಅರ್ಧ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು	ಕಾಲು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು	ಎರಡು ಆಣೆಗಳು	ನಾಣ್ಯಗಳು	ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಚೆಕ್ಕುಗಳು	ಬ್ಯಾಂಕು ನೋಟುಗಳು	ಮುಂಬೈನಾ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆನ್ಸಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು		
27-4-03	1.	ಹಾಲು ಮಾರಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಿಂದ ಕಿಸ್ತಪ್ಪ [illegible] ಹಾಲು ಹಾಕುವವರು 40 [illegible] 174-6-3 ಸೇರು ತುಪ್ಪ 118-0-11 [illegible] 332-6-2	332 62										332 62	
	2	[illegible]	100		103								100	
	3	[illegible]	400										400	
	4	[illegible]	158 7										158 7	
	5	[illegible]	13 4 6										13 4 6	
	6	[illegible]	15 10										15 10	

| | | |

Fm. 79D.] ಸರಾಫನ ರೋಜುವಾರ ಖರ್ಚು ತಹಸೀಲ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಧಲ್ಲಿಕೆಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಧಿಕ್ಕು ಸನ್ 1903 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಆಗಿ ಮಾಹೆ 27 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಆಗಾಯಿತು ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ

ಖರ್ಚು ಬಾಬಿನು	ವಾಚರ್ ನಂ.	ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದು	ಖರ್ಚು ಮೊಬಲಗು	ಜವಾಬ್ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ವಿವರ: ನೋಟು	ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು	ಅರ್ಧ ರೂ ತುಕ್ಕಾ ಗಳು	ತಾಲು ರೂ ಸಾಯಿ ಗಳು	ಎಡು ಆಣೆಗಳು	ಬಗರಿಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಪಣೇಪಲ್ಲೀ ನಾಣ್ಯಗಳು	ಅರ್ಧಆಣೆ	ಕಾಲಾಣೆ	ಕಾಸುಗಳು	ಬಗರ್ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಣೇ ತಾಂಬ್ರದ ನಾಣ್ಯಗಳು	ಮುಂಬೈವಿನಾ ರ್ಜ ಖರ್ಚು ಮೊಬಲಗು	ಪೊಲಿಗು ಮಾವತಿ ಆದಕ್ಕೆ ಆನಾಮಿ ರುಜು	ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್ರ ದಸ್ತಖತ್
27-4-03	1.	ಡಿವಾ ಬರೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ 2 ಬರೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ													2	
	2.	ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡರಿಗೆ ಆಳಿನ ಪಗಾರ 3													3	
	3	ಕೆಲಸದ ಹುಡುಗಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸದ ದಿವಸ 28 ಬಾಬತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದು													2 8	
	4	ಸಣ್ಣ ಕಾರಕೂನ ಬಾಬತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದು 15 ಸೇರಿದ್ದು	228												15	
															22 8	

ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರ್ರ ದಸ್ತಖತ್ 5/6/03

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
27/4/1903	239	[illegible] 10 [illegible]	—		2120 / 29-4-03	
368 / 1-5-03 [illegible]		[illegible] 10 [illegible] 29-4-03 [illegible] 2120 [illegible]	239	—		

5-6-903

[illegible signature]

(15)

Enclosed

The Treasury Assistant Commissioner having reported that Rs 26/4/- worth of stamps are required by post from the officer to the Kurukundally Taluk on 25th April last the fact of the case are as follows -

On 13th April the Amildar went on tour for stamps and opium to the District Treasury but was received on 15th and as it was a bazar settlement, it was impossible to get any cattle. He was received in the District Treasury on 24th April the stamps and opium were taken out on 24th and packed in the

of the Receipts of the Sluice and District Treasurer on the 5th inst. in fair hand writing and registered and sent by post on May 6th, a report was received from the Rackrackally Guardians and was brought by the Sluice Medical Officer Rackrackally in person, and also states that he left Rackrackally on the 4th inst; but does not mention when he received this report, which is dated 2nd inst. The non-submission of the delay of these reports is extremely suspicious and the next Commissioners Court will at once proceed to Rackrackally to count the Treasury carefully and report upon the balance and any discrepancy

he may find. Pending
these enquiries, the
Accidar will make
good the deficient amount
of Rs 26/10/- explained to
be receiving in the stamp
credit the same to the
Stamp account and
report to this office
within one week from
this date -

(sd) H. H. Shorter
Asst Commr
Bangalore
15th May 1902

Fd 17 Ff 8.

Copy of the above
is forwarded to the Asst
Commr Closepet Range
for information and
guidance

(sd) H. H. Shorter
Asst Commissioner

(Copy)

17/5/1903

1073

In reply to the above I beg to submit that while the parcels in question were opened and examined by Shirastedar and Shroff, and a report was made to the District Treasury by the Shirastedar as to the non-receipt of Postage stamps and while the sifting of matters was at its inception, it cannot but appear that the order of the Deputy Commissioner to call on me to pay the

the Value Rs 26-10-0 of stamps
said to have been despatche
from the District-Treasury,
hard or to use a stronger terms
forced and difficult to bear.

Under the circumstances I
most humbly request that the
Deputy Commissioner (whose
orders I have obeyed for dis-
cipline's sake by my having pai
over Rs 26-10-0, which has been
credited to deposit accoun
on 22nd May in the Taluq Treasury) will
kindly reconsider the matter
and cease the recovery of the
Value of stamps if need be, from
the Shirastidar or from Mirast
-dar and Shraff pending final
decision.

I remain
Sir
Your
most
obedient
servant

Docket No ... dated 20th May 1903

from the Deputy Commissioner, Bauleah
to the District & the Treasury Officer
Bauleah District.

---

2. The Enquiry does not Statem
where previous the registered packet
was opened. But apparently the
Amilbar is reported to have received
it. Further enquiry in the Matter
will be Made.

Bauleah District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bauleah, 20th May 1903

Extract

R 1828

7E No 400

Extract from the above referred to the Attorney General Mr Fisher, Crosspiet, Rand in reply to his letter No 222 of 17th May 1903 for further very early action and report.

Rec'd 22/5/03.

Lttl Sparke

Deputy Commissioner

Basutoland

Received 22/5/03

Very urgent.

Rec'd 13/5/1

No 223 Forwarded to the Resident Commissioner Basutoland for very early report on this letter.

24-5-03

22/5/03

Assistant Minister & Crosspiet Rand

Kaal Kaintall

No 1077 - 7E No 427

29th May 1903

In reply to the above I beg to submit that the parcels which were despatched from the District Treasury were opened and examined

El 9047

30-5-03

examined by Sharaf Ruadiah and Shirastadar
Ins. Venkoba Rau, the very day they were
received (27th April 1903), and the latter
saw the Contents were entered in the
different registers kept in the Taluq
Treasury for showing stock and expe
-diture of Stamp papers &c. and opium

The Shirastadar made a report
No (242/26.4.1903) to the District Treasury
acknowledging the receipt of the
Contents Viz: Stamp papers, Post-Car
Embossed envelopes, and Opium, an
stating that Postage labels might
be supplied according to indent,
as they were not received.

A separate report No (239/27-4-03)
was also made by him to the District
Treasury to the effect that the ten
seers of opium despatched as per
indent fell short by one tola and
only that quantity less by one tola
was brought into accounts.

It is a matter for regret, that

the Shirastedar never brought to my notice that Postage Stamps were missing then and there or even that day. If I had done so I could not but have been disposition to see to things, and to send report atonce to the District Treasury and to District officers by special messengers or telegraph.

It may not be unnecessary to state that immediately I arrived at the Taluq Cutchery direct from Kabbal Via, Satnur, on 27th April 1903, four parcels Containing Opium, Stamp papers &c were received from post. They were then and there Caused to be entered in the General Proceedings Register and (having found the parcels were alright) made over in my presence to the Shirastedar and Sheraf Cobs were in the Taluq Treasury office room which measures only 14½ x 8 feet and inside which there is a door to the Treasur

Treasur Closed); of Course the Mamlatdar having taken initials against the parcels entered in the above mentioned General Form Register.

According to the practice current invariably in the Talugs the business of personally getting such parcels opened and examining Contents thereof is entrusted to Shirastedars whose most Working hours are devoted, and whose attension has officially been directed to be paid to Treasury work.

The same practice was observed here and I believe that the District Treasury Officers &c. are not unaware of this.

As regards the delay in the despatch of report No 242 made by the Shirastedar from the Talug Treasury, the Nagardi Gumasta pleads oversight as there was heavy work on account of Kandayam Vasuli

Vasuli and preparation of monthly
accounts.

J. Srinivasan
Auditor

[illegible] 1903 [illegible]

[illegible] 1574/03	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			[illegible]			
				[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	1-26	..-28	1-10	4	30	..	4 R	30	✓	
[illegible]	..-24	1-4	..-41	2	30	..	2 R	30		
[illegible] ..-6 [illegible]	..-25	1-8	2-19	4	60	..	2 R	30		
[illegible]	..-128	..-96	2-101	4	30	..	1 sh	7	8	2
[illegible]	..-24	..-44	..-67	1	30	..	6 d	7	8	
[illegible]	..-16	..-16	..-28	..-120	18	12	36 d	5	10	
[illegible]	..	..-4	..-15	..-20	5	..	12 d	3		19
[illegible]	..-2	..	..-3	10	5		[illegible]			16
[illegible]					208	12		116	10	-

[illegible] 13/4/903 [illegible]

(1)

[illegible signature]

[illegible signature]

[illegible]

30 [illegible]
30 [illegible]
30 [illegible]

[illegible] 27/4/903

Passed for postage stamps of the value
of Rs 116-10-. to be sent to the Amildar of
Kankumballi Taluk.

11-4-03

This year has written on the 28th
it says that ... on the 27th
which all ... a letter of
Communication ...

Amildar

Very Urgent



Amildar

13112

11-4-03

25-4-1903 ... 28/4/1903 ... 6-5-1903 ...

6/5/1903 ...

Bangalore

Dated 13/5/03

Ref 2201/E

(10)

Dy. Commissioner
Dy. Deputy Commissioner

Dated 9-5-03

11/5/03

12216

(2)

ಕಿ.ನಂ.232

18/4/03

1888

(3)

(4)

20-4-03

(5)

24/4/03

[illegible]

[illegible]

People are suffering & are much inconvenienced for want getting supply of Stamps here in time & are obliged to travel from (5) the villages & H.Q quarters in the sun often- immediate despatch of stamps for use is earnestly solicited

[illegible]

Dr 4-2-03

Dr Collect

Write to the Amildar as follows:

If people dont get their stamps in time and are inconvenienced where fault is it. Why did not the Amildar send his indents along with (1) his indents in the first instance It was on account of this oversight on his part that the delay has been caused - "

Further... Why was a Jugli peon sent here all the way to fetch these Stamps. - If the amildar could not have arranged to send a more responsible peon it were better he had sent none at all - This is sheer waste of labour & expense and serves no purpose as the stamps

Mark Towns
24/4/03.

Received by Post office all 9 o'clock forwarded by Post office all 9 o'clock

ನಗರ 125/13

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯನ್ನು ಕರೆದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 11 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರು. ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 20 ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು. ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು.

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿ

Urgent

(12)

Dated 17.4.03, 18.4.03, 24.4.03, 25-4-03, 29-4-03

Dated 2007, 2020, 2092, 2096, 2120

14.5.03

District Treasury Officer
Bangalore

(12) The Amildar will please forward all the above references immediately bearing a single post.

16.5.03

14/5/03

(13)

Item No 2442 dated 28/4/03 & not 4/5/03 as stated here. The particulars same as No 242 both the Sheets on the 4/5/03 - The Amildar has sent my No 2092 Akkalkuwa

(14)

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2274/ಸಿ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 13/5/03

ಸೇವೆಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸನ್
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ, ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 242 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ 2 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: 1. ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2274/ಸಿ. ದಿನಾಂಕ 21/5/03.
2. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-4-03 ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2274/ಸಿ. ದಿನಾಂಕ 21/5/03 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೋರಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಈ ಜ್ಞಾಪನಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಪತ್ರ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ 28/5/03 ರಂದು 21/5/03 ರ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2274/ಸಿ. ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2274/ಸಿ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 21-5-03

ಸೇವೆಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸನ್
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು, ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ, ಕೆಂಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 242 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ 2 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿರುವ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: 1. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-4-03 ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು.
2. ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸರ್ವೆ/ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ/25/2003-04 ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-5-03 ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ಕೆಚ್.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸರ್ವೆ/ಭೂ ಪರಿವರ್ತನೆ/25/2003-04 ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-5-03 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಕೆಚ್ ಸಹಿತ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಜ್ಞಾಪನಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಯಾವುದೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಪತ್ರ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

6

24/4/03

Received

1.	13-4-03	1.
2.	7-5-03	1.
3.	16-4-03	1.
4.	16-4-03	1.
5.	25-4-03	1.
6.	14-5-03	1.
7.	18-5-03	1.
8.	26-4-03	1.

25/5/03

Camp: Form 8A

Cyan 81.

1305

Dear Sir,

Sub: Request for issue of Caste Certificate

I am a student of Government Polytechnic, Kollegal. I belong to Kuruba community which is a Scheduled Tribe. I require a Caste Certificate for my admission purpose. Hence I request you to issue the same to me at your earliest convenience.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

6/6/03
Kollegal

Deputy Secretary
Bangalore

1

ಬೆಲೆ 9018

29-5-03

ಸಿ ಕೆ ಬಿ
2377
487

ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ ಪತ್ರ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸಿ ಕೆ ಬಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತರಿಂದ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು

ಸಹಿ: 29-5-03.

S ಎಂಬುದು ಪೃಷ್ಠಭಾಗದ
ಸೀಳುಮುಖ

ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಯ ಕೀಳು ಬಿಲ್ 7-8-
ಔಷಧಿಗಳ ಬೆಲೆಯ ಬಿಲ್ 7-8-
ಆಪರೇಷನ್ ಥಿಯೇಟರ್ 36 5-10-
ಶುಶ್ರೂಷೆಯ 12.3—
ಕಡಿತ 6.3—
26-10—

[illegible]

25-4-> 3 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

28/5/03

[illegible]
Treasury Officer
[illegible] Bangalore

[illegible]

For Dy Commissioner

(K. Krishnamurthy)

ಕೆ.ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ

ಉಪ ಆಯುಕ್ತರಿಗಾಗಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಹಾಲಿನ ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯವೈಖರಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ನೇಮಿಸಿದ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಶಿಫಾರಸುಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ.

ಬಹುಪರ್ಯಾಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು

1 ಹಾಲಿನ ಪುಡಿಯನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಂದ ತಯಾರಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ —

ಎ) ಹಾಲಿನ ಕೆನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಕ್ಕರೆ
ಬಿ) ಹಾಲಿನ ಕೆನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಬೆಣ್ಣೆ
ಸಿ) ಹಾಲಿನ ಕೆನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆ
ಡಿ) ಹಾಲಿನ ಕೆನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಲು

2 ಹಾಲಿನ ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕವು ಭಾರತದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟನೇ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿದೆ ?

ಎ) ಮೊದಲನೇ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಬಿ) ಎರಡನೇ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಸಿ) ಮೂರನೇ ಸ್ಥಾನ
ಡಿ) ನಾಲ್ಕನೇ ಸ್ಥಾನ

3 ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲಿನ ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣವಾದ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ.

ಎ) ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಬಿ) ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಸಿ) ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಡಿ) ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪಾದನೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ

ಉತ್ತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯಮಾಪನದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಉತ್ತರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ತಪ್ಪುಗಳು

[illegible]

26.5.03

[illegible]

assistance ?

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
116	23/10/97	988	22/10/97	[illegible] 26 3/4 [illegible]
127	31/10/97	1047	31/10/97	[illegible]
138	11/10/97	1138	8/10/97	[illegible]
1282	19/6/98	9924	16/6/98	[illegible] 126 [illegible] 75 [illegible] 127-8-0 [illegible] 126 [illegible]
24	21/7/98	226	26/7/98	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]			97	[illegible]
[illegible] 5000 [illegible]	96		105 2/11/97	[illegible]
[illegible]			109 14/11/97	[illegible]
[illegible] 60 [illegible]			227 1/7/98	[illegible] 21/7/98 [illegible] 227 [illegible]
[illegible]		2	9	[illegible]

6/12/90	1195	6/12/90		
4/12/90				
6	9			

"Timeofindia" Ahmedabad

[illegible]	-	[illegible] 2	116 10/12/01.	[illegible]

			92-6-98
	911 116		
	1047 127		
	1133 138		
	9996 282		

۳۰۶ ۹۲	۶۳	۲۰۰ ۵ ۰ ۰ ۰

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

3)

Read

Letters no: D1343 & 29.5.03
and no S.47 & 11.7.03 from
the Dy Comr, Bangalore
District, reporting that on
receipt of information from
the District Treasury that
postage labels amounting
to the value of Rs 26-10-0
sent to Kankankalli on
25.4.03 along with other
articles indented for
were missing there, he
deputed the Asst Comr,
Closepet Division, for
a thorough investigation
of the case, and, on his
report of the facts, ...
directed Taluk Sheris-
tedar Venkoba Rao to
make good the loss sus-
tained and censured
Amildar Mr J. Srinivas-
sa Rao on account of
his delay in ascertaining
the facts from the Taluk

Sheristedar

Sheriestedar. Whether the Contents of the Journals sent from the D.C.R. Dy have been correctly recd

order hereon.

Recorded. H-613-614 (Seen by the 1st. Cl. Z/A)

N 26/8/03 Encl. 28-8-03.

for Secretary 164. 28.

D.V. 26/8/03

The Revenue in Mysore

The Dy Commr, Bangalore District, returning the enclosure.

Comm'd